Case4:12-cv-06502-YGR Document66 Filed06/28/13 Page1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 OAKLAND DIVISION 4 ELIZABETH COX, as an individual, and on Case No. 12-cv-06502-YGR behalf of all others similarly situated, 5 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING Plaintiffs, UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE 6 v. 7 GRUMA CORPORATION, 8 Defendant. 9 10 On this day the Court considered the unopposed motion of Defendant Gruma Corporation 11 for leave to reply to Plaintiff's Response to Supplemental Brief Regarding Referral to the United 12 States Food and Drug Administration Pursuant to 21 C.F.R. § 10.25(c). 13 Having considered the unopposed motion and briefing on file, the Court is of the opinion 14 that the motion is proper and should be GRANTED. 15 It is therefore ORDERED that Defendant Gruma Corporation be **GRANTED** leave to reply 16 to Plaintiff's Response to Supplemental Brief Regarding Referral to the United States Food and 17 Drug Administration Pursuant to 21 C.F.R. § 10.25(c). 18 Accordingly, Defendant Gruma Corporation shall have two days from the date of this 19 Order to file its Reply to Plaintiff's Response to Supplemental Brief Regarding Referral to the 20 United States Food and Drug Administration Pursuant to 21 C.F.R. § 10.25(c), attached as 21 Exhibit A to Defendant's Motion for Leave. 22 This Order terminates Dkt. No. 65. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25

1

United States District Court Judge

Dated: June 28, 2013

27

28